

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → +

Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b>  <i>(Use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				<b>Complete if Known</b>	
Sheet		1	of	2	Application Number Unassigned
					Filing Date February 23, 2004
					First Named Inventor Richard Powell Draves, Jr.
					Group Art Unit Unassigned
					Examiner Name Unassigned
					Attorney Docket Number 225205

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Filing Date If Appropriate
		Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			
/BN/	A A	10/610,397		Draves		June 30, 2003
/BN/	A B	10/723,673		Wolman et al.		Nov. 26, 2003

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Translation	
		Office	Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			Yes	No**

OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					Translation	
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.			Yes	No**
/BN/	A C	DRAVES et al. Comparison of Routing Metrics for Multi-Hop Wireless Networks. Microsoft Research, Redmond, Washington. Submitted to MobiSys 2004 Conference October 31, 2003.				
/BN/	A D	JOHNSON et al. The Dynamic Source Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (DSR). IETF MANET Working Group Internet Draft, Reston, Virginia, February 21, 2002.				
/BN/	A E	ADYA et al. A Multi-Radio Unification Protocol for IEEE 802.11 Wireless Networks. Technical Report MSR-TR-2003-44. Microsoft Research, Redmond, Washington, July 2003.				
/BN/	A F	BARDFORD et al. Generating Representative Web Workloads for Network and Server Performance Evaluation. In ACM SIGMETRICS, Madison, Wisconsin, November 1998.				
/BN/	A G	BROCH et al. A Performance Comparison of Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Network Routing Protocols. In Proceedings of the Fourth ACM International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom '98), Dallas, Texas, October 1998.				
/BN/	A H	DE COUTO et al. A High-Throughput Path Metric for Multi-Hop Wireless Routing. In Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom '03), San Diego, California, September 2003.				
/BN/	A I	DE COUTO et al. Performance of Multihop Wireless Networks: Shortest Path Is Not Enough. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Hot Topics in Networking (HotNets-I), Princeton, New Jersey, October 2002.				

Examiner Signature	/Brian Nguyen/	Date Considered	09/23/2007
--------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

\* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

+ An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

+

Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b>  <i>(Use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				<b>Complete if Known</b>	
				Application Number	225205
				Filing Date	February 23, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Draves
				Group Art Unit	Unassigned
				Examiner Name	Unassigned
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	225205

[illegible]

Examiner Signature	/Brian Nguyen/	Date Considered	09/23/2007
--------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

- \* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).
- + An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).